

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/649,431	08/25/2000	Vladimir Andreevich Bushuev	62935/PJP	6866		
7.	590 06/21/2002					
Peter J Phillips			EXAMINER			
Cooper & Duni 1185 Avenue o	f the Americas		DOROSHENK, ALEXA A			
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1764	12		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{N}$	F	12
---------------	---	----

Office Action Summary		Application N .		Applicant(s)				
		09/649,431 BUSHUEV, VLAD ANDREEVICH		DIMIR				
		Examiner	DAN	Art Unit				
		Alexa A. Doi	oshenk	1764				
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 h	<u> March 2002</u> .						
2a) <b>⊠</b> ₃	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is no	on-final.					
3)□ Disp siti								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from cons	deration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)🛛 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)[] 1	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)∐ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC	(s) O-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary		Dort of I	Paper No. 12			

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant has improperly amended the claims in that the remaining claim is not numbered. The examiner has treated the single claim as claim 3 in view of the marked-up copy of the claims. Correction is required.

### Specification

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "it can repeatedly passes" is improper grammar. The examiner suggests amending the claim to read either "it can repeatedly pass" or "it repeatedly passes". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 3 continues to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dinulescu (US 4,265,732) as presented in paragraph 4 of Paper No. 7.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that it is impossible for reactant flow to repeatedly flow through blades unlike the cavity of the present claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/649,431

Art Unit: 1764

The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. Applicant has not provided any evidence from the patent itself nor in the form of a declaration, but merely stated that flow cannot repeated pass through blades.

With respect to the cavity configuration, the examiner notes that the cavity configuration is only described in the specification as an "annular cavity" (p. 10, line 33 of the substitute specification). Dinulescu illustrates a cavity which is also annular (see fig. 2a).

In response to applicant's argument that the cavity of Dinulescu is not configured for repeated reactant flow, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/649,431

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexa A. Doroshenk whose telephone number is 703-305-0074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100

ለ<sup>ያህ</sup> AAD June 19, 2002